## FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

### DANIEL COBLE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental theorem of algebra, which states that every nonconstant polynomial has a root, has posed an annoying pedagogical problem. Although the result is easy enough to teach to high schoolers, any proof is waived off. Even in college math classes, professors dismiss the idea of a proof, and often claim that because of its 'fundamentalness', the proof requires analytical techniques. That is roughly true, but it is certainly not true that a proof requires complex analysis. Finally, when a proof is given, they often end lamely with a contradiction, not giving any hint as to the construction of a root.

The purpose of this document is to describe a proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra inspired by the proof given in Munkres's *Topology*. Being two dimensional, I represent the argument primarily through diagrams. All results from topology are intuitive and can be expressed layly. Still, I try to give the necessary terminology so that a reader could fill in a precise proof.

# 2. Fundamental theorem of Algebra

**Definition 2.1.** A path in the complex plane is a continuus function  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ . If f(0) = f(1) then f is also a loop.

**Definition 2.2.** Two paths f and g are homotopic (topologically equivalent) if there is some function  $H: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ . Such that

$$H(0,t) = f(t)$$
 and  $H(1,t) = g(t)$  (2.3)

Intuitively, two paths are homotopic if one can be continuously deformed to another.

**Lemma 2.4** (From Topology (Winding Number)). Take the space  $\mathbb{C} - \{a\}$ , or the entire complex plane minus the point a. If f and g loops, with f homotopic to  $a + e^{2\pi mt}$  and g



FIGURE 1. (left) a path and (right) a loop.

#### DANIEL COBLE

homotopic to  $a + e^{2\pi nt}$ , f and g are homotopic if and only if m = n. In other words, if loops are homotopic if they take the same number of trips around a.

All lemma 2.4 says is that any loop is described by the amount of times it turns around a point. Any deformation preserves the number of turns, unless that deformation takes the loop through the point a. I think this is something anyone would expect. This lemma (and the obvious fact that a path is homotopic to itself) are the only things we need for this proof.



FIGURE 2. A deformation of a loop. a is originally contained within the interior of the loop. In order to reach the exterior, there must be some point along the deformation where a is on the loop.

Proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. Let a polynomial be given by

$$p(z) = z^{n} + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{1}z - a_{0}$$
(2.5)

and we need to assert that there is some  $z_0$  such that  $p(z_0) = 0$ . For simplicity we will take  $q(z) = p(z) + a_0$ , and we will search for where  $q(z_0) = a_0$ . We'll consider z in polar coordinates,  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then,

$$q(z) = r^{n}e^{in\theta} + a_{n-1}r^{n-1}e^{i(n-1)\theta} + \dots + a_{1}re^{i\theta}$$
(2.6)

If we take r fixed and vary  $\theta$  between 0 and  $2\pi$ , q(z) describes epicyclic movement. But the first term dominates, so that if we take  $r_0$  large enough,  $q(r_0e^{i\theta})$  moves exclusively counter clockwise in the complex plane. Our picture looks as follows:



And we can assume that  $a_0$  is within the interior of  $q(r_0e^{i\theta})$  by saying  $|a_0| < \min_{0 \le \theta < 2\pi} |q(r_0e^{i\theta})|$ . Let's consider a path from 0 to a point on  $q(r_0e^{i\theta})$  taken now by keeping  $\theta$  fixed but varying r between 0 and  $r_0$ .

$$f_{\theta}(t) = q(tr_0 e^{i\theta}) \tag{2.7}$$



Already, the reasoning is obvious. As we vary  $\theta$ ,  $f_{\theta}$  moves counterclockwise with the outer end along  $q(r_0e^{i\theta})$ . After  $\theta = 2\pi f_2\pi = f_0$ , and at somewhere along the way f must have crossed  $a_0$ .



FIGURE 3. The path  $f_{\theta}(t)$  moving through different  $\theta$  values. In the middle figure,  $a_0$  is achieved for some value of t.

Let's make this more formal. Let's define a path  $g_{\theta}$  which first follows  $f_{\theta}$ , then travels back along  $q(r_0 e^{i\theta})$  until  $\theta = 0$ , then returns to 0 along a straight path.

$$g_{\theta}(t) = \begin{cases} q(3tr_0t^{i\theta}) & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{3} \\ q(r_0t^{i\theta(2-3t)}) & \frac{1}{3} < t \le \frac{2}{3} \\ q(r_0(3-3t)) & \frac{2}{3} < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

We consider homotopies on the space  $\mathbb{C} - \{a_0\}$  and ask which paths  $g_{\theta}$  are homotopic to  $g_0$ . Specifically, is it possible that  $g_{2\pi}$  is homotopic to  $g_0$ ? Assume (for contradiction) that q(z) does not ever equal  $a_0$ . Then Any two  $g_{\theta_1}$ ,  $g_{\theta_2}$  are homotopic through the following homotopy.

$$H_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s,t) = \begin{cases} q(3tr_0e^{i(\theta_1(1-s)+\theta_2(s))}) & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{3} \\ q(r_0t^{i(\theta_1(1-s)+\theta_2(s))(2-3t)}) & \frac{1}{3} < t \le \frac{2}{3} \\ q(r_0(3-3t)) & \frac{2}{3} < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

Which has  $H_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(0,t) = g_{\theta_1}(t)$  and  $H_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(1,t) = g_{\theta_2}(t)$ . Because q(z) never equals  $a_0$ , we don't have to worry whether the first or third sections intersect  $a_0$ .



FIGURE 4. Three  $g_{\theta}$  for different values of  $\theta$ . The homotopy  $H_{\theta_1,\theta_2}$  moves through all  $g_{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  between  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ 

By this homotopy, we have that  $g_0$  is homotopic to  $g_{2\pi}$ . Is that reasonable? The first and third sections of  $g_0$  and  $g_{2\pi}$  are identical, but the second section of  $g_0$  is stationary whereas the second section of  $g_{2\pi}$  circles  $a_0 n$  times. In the below picture I have that  $g_0$ doesn't encircle  $a_0$ . That may not be the case, but regardless, if  $g_0$  encircles  $a_0 k$  times,  $g_{2\pi}$ encircles  $a_0 k + n$  times. Thus we reach the contradiction.



FIGURE 5. (left)  $g_0$  and (right)  $g_{2\pi}$ . The two loops do not encircle  $a_0$  the same number of times.

## DANIEL COBLE

Another (slightly more constructive) method of proof could say that between 0 and  $\theta^*$ ,  $g_{\theta}$  does not achieve  $a_0$ . Then we show that as  $\theta^*$  approaches  $2\pi$ ,  $g_{\theta}$  between  $2\pi$  and  $\theta^*$  must achieve  $a_0$ .

6